

**PREVENTION MEETING**  
**September 16, 2010**  
**Craig Comedy's Office**

Present:

Craig Comedy  
Traci Mason  
Molly Stone  
Amanda Ferguson

Doug Wentz  
Mary Haag  
Greg Jefferson

Not Present:

Ruth Satterfield  
Bobbie Herron

Others Present:

Marilyn Maciejewski

Amanda opened the meeting and the minutes from January 14<sup>th</sup> were reviewed and approved.

Amanda gave a summary of the recent survey noting 10% of the population responded, and overall were in favor of the proposals.

The Open/Ended responses did show some concerns in the following areas:

Questioning the necessity of having both the RA and the OPA.

Concerns that the OPA level education and work experience hours were set too high.

The responses were split on the degree requirements. It was felt to be cost prohibitive.

Confusion on the OCPS II and differentiating the administrative and supervision.

Frustration on not enough education trainings available.

Traci questioned the proposed requirements for the OCPS II and suggested that clarification is needed to show that both supervision and administrative work experience is acceptable at this level. As worded only supervisory work experience would be accepted and this may create an undo barrier for folks who might hold an administrative role but do not supervise. Amanda will make the changes in the proposed matrix for the OCPS II to read: "administrative/supervisor" in the work experience and education hour requirements. Amanda suggested that the committee needs to define what

is acceptable as administrative/supervisory work experience so that this can be conveyed to prospective applicants.

Amanda will move forward and have the revised draft in Rep. Huffman's office tomorrow and expects LSC to have it ready to be introduced by the first of the year.

Discussion on the degree:

Need to define degree in the Rule.

Possibly not limiting to Behavioral Science degree, but to show human behavior course work.

The committee requested that staff draft possible language options for the degree requirement that the committee could consider and provide edits.

Discussion on the Scope of Practice:

Need to show specific differences with all levels for example:

In services that can be performed.

With supervision, or no supervision.

Concerns of LCDC II's supervising OCPS's, Amanda to remove it from legislative draft.

Molly to check on ODADAS requirements as they relate to scope and supervision.

Traci commented that a scope draft had already been created by this committee some years back and should be used as a starting point. Staff indicated they would locate and circulate the draft.

Fees for the new OPA level will mirror similar applications requiring review:

\$50.00 OPA Formal Application

\$150.00/\$100 for Renewal.

Next Meeting Agenda:

Define Degree

Define Scope

Brainstorm Marketing Strategies:

Target Population

Highlight benefits of new OPA level

Gambling:

The Joint Committee is creating an endorsement for gambling to be offered for those already credentialed/licensed in Mental Health or Substance Abuse.

Committee voiced their interest in having prevention at the table for these meetings. Amanda encouraged that they do so noting that the name of the endorsement should be broader than Compulsive Gambling Counselor if preventionists would be obtaining. The

committee asked Amanda to get a copy of ODADAS's gambling training curriculum to review for prevention content.

Meeting Dates:

November 12, 2010

January 20, 2010

Meeting adjourned.

DRAFT