

OCDP Treatment Committee
June 19, 2015
Meeting Minutes

Present: Alex Bishara, Max Cortes, Lori Criss, Amanda Ferguson, Bruce Jones,
Andrew Moss, Ginger Ross, Robb Yurisko

The meeting was called to order at 1:00pm at Talbot Hall.

The committee reviewed and approved minutes from the 3/20/15 meeting.

A. Ferguson provided the committee with several Director Updates.

- **IC&RC:** IC&RC is currently seeking a new examination vendor that would offer more regional testing locations and that they had recently approved a new study guide offering for the ADC exam.
- **Medicaid Fee For Service:** Following the May 8th Board Meeting A. Ferguson reached out to ODM to continue discussions surrounding adding the LICDC to fee for service authorization. She met with ODM staff earlier in the week and was provided a questionnaire that ODM asked LICDC's in private practice complete. ODM also was interested in a focus group session with these professionals. The committee agreed that A. Ferguson should send a listserv announcement to LICDC's to solicit their participation in the questionnaire. Determination of focus group participants could then be determined based on the responses received.
- **Art Therapist Proposal:** The Ohio Art Therapists Association has reached out to the Board a second time for further consideration of allowing them to be housed with the Board. A. Ferguson met with several representatives of the group the prior week and was pleased to see that their current proposal appears to reduce administrative costs by utilizing the national certification approval process as a basis for licensure. The committee questioned how the field might receive this new group and whether it would slow down processing times of its current credentials. A. Ferguson further shared the Music Therapists were working to get placed with the Medical Board or possibly the CSWMFT Board.
- **Peer Credentialing:** IC&RC contacted the Board to share that an Ohio entity had petitioned to be the provider of the IC&RC Peer Credential for the state. A. Ferguson met with OMHAS staff to discuss the implications of such an entity receiving authority for credentialing in Ohio. OMHAS is currently working on launching an Ohio certification and indicated if an IC&RC credential had to be offered in the state that it would prefer that the Board do so. IC&RC grants the Board first rights of refusal on credentialing for Ohio and has encouraged the outside entity to speak directly with the Board regarding this matter. A. Ferguson will wait to see if they make contact.

A. Moss led the committee in a continued discussion of clinical supervision requirements, recommendations and guidance. The committee discussed several considerations the Board raised when they reviewed the committee's proposal. These included:

- **Setting a policy for handling situations where CDCA's are not using their credential in a clinical role and do not have access to a clinical supervisor.** The committee did not come to a consensus on how to resolve this issue but considered ideas that included creating an affidavit process where CDCA's would agree to refrain from clinical duties without a registered supervisor, creating a process where CDCA's could deactivate their credential when not in a clinical role, creating a mechanism for a CDCA to find an external clinical supervisor. The committee discussed at length how ethics issues would be handled if a CDCA was inactive but violated the code of ethics. The committee agreed to discuss this matter further.
- **Setting recommendations for the # of CDCA's an individual can supervise.** The committee reviewed the average number of individuals supervisors identified in the supervision survey and determined that based on that information 6-10 supervisees seemed most appropriate and manageable.
- **Provide supervisors with direction and focus for supervision sessions.** The committee encouraged promotion of the TIP 52.
- **Identifying who is responsible for maintaining supervision records.** The committee agreed that both supervisor and supervisee should have a copy of the supervision contract, that the supervisee is responsible for notification paperwork to the Board and that the supervisor should maintain supervision notes/logs.
- **Consider board specific training on supervision of CDCAs.** Committee discussed creation of a video training. A. Ferguson shared staff were already working on a monthly E-newsletter targeting CDCAs with helpful info and could extend to supervisors of CDCAs as well.

The committee discussed at length the goal for setting supervision standards as a means of reducing ethical complaints. The committee expressed concern that the CDCA is a being misused by employers who are setting CDCA's up for failure. Committee asked A. Ferguson to gather statistics on SWA complaint rates and supervision processes as a comparison to CDCA. L. Criss indicated she would share these concerns with the Ohio Council's providers and solicit feedback/input on how to remedy.

A. Ferguson presented the committee with a request from OMHAS regarding the Gambling Endorsement. OMHAS is requesting that the Board grant the endorsement to individuals who hold the national gambling certification without requiring them to submit the education and work experience documentation. The national certification requires these education and work experience standards already and documentation of that certification should be proof of completion of the standards. A. Ferguson stated that the Board's attorney has indicated that the Board could vote on a resolution to accept the national certification as proof of the education and work experience requirements. The committee approved of this measure and asked that the resolution be presented to the Board. The committee further discussed what steps to take if an initial endorsement

expires before an individual has logged the required work hours. A consensus on the matter was not reached and A. Ferguson was tasked with looking at the law/rule governing the endorsement to further determine what options were within the scope of the law/rule.

The committee reviewed a package of rules due for five year rule review. They had no objections to the minor changes made by staff. The committee identified the need to review the Board's policy related to reporting convictions on initial applications. A. Ferguson will add this to the work plan.

The committee reviewed its draft 2015 work plan and identified the DSM 5 revision tasks as items to tackle next.

Meeting adjourned at 3:30 pm.